Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/812,859	KANAYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wendy C. Haas	1661
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Wendy C. Haas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Cindy Bonner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 May 2005</u>	Time: <u>10:29 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Drawing objection		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
who then		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Bonner called 5/24 to inquire about the drawing objection of record and pointed out that substitute drawings were filed prior to the Office Action. The Examiner reviewed the substitute drawings, noted that they are in compliance with the present rules, apologized for the mistake and informed Ms. Bonner that substitute drawings will not be needed..